12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Brett M. Godfrey, CO Bar No. 16871		
	Godfrey   Johnson, P.C.		
2	9557 S. Kingston Court		
3	Englewood, CO 80112		
3	Telephone: (303) 228-0700		
4	Telecopier: (303) 228-0701		
_	godfrey@gojolaw.com Admitted Pro Hac Vice		
5	Admitted Pro Hac vice		
6	Attorneys for Defendants		
7	DONALD R. MEALING, LYNN R. HASNEY		
	and INC. FUNDAMENTALS		
8			
9	UNITED STATES I		
7	NORTHERN DISTRIC		
10	SAN FRANCIS		
11			
11			

## ΓES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA NCISCO DIVISION

ELENA DEL CAMPO, et al. on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Case No. C01-21151 SI
Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEFS
AMERICAN CORRECTIVE COUNSELING SERVICES, INC., et al.,	Hearing: February 28, 2014 Time: 9:00 a.m. Courtroom: 10
Defendants.	

Defendants Don Mealing, Lynn Hasney and Inc. Fundamentals, by and through counsel, Godfrey Johnson, P.C., hereby submit their Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply Briefs, showing the Court as follows:

- Undersigned counsel certifies that she has conferred with counsel for Plaintiffs who takes no position on the relief requested herein.
- Pursuant to the Civil Pretrial Minutes issued by the Court on December 19, 2013 (Doc. No. 1345), dispositive motions and Defendants' motion to decertify were due on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

January 24, 2014, responses were due on February 7, 2014, and replies are due on February 14, 2014.

- 3. Because of the Court's ECF technical failure on January, 24, 2014, Defendants filed their motions on the next court date following the ECF technical failure on January 27, 2014 pursuant to the local rules.
- 4. While there was not a second technical failure, Plaintiffs filed their responses to Defendants' motions on February 10, 2014.
- 5. Defendants therefore respectfully request that their time to reply to Plaintiffs' responses be extended for the same period of time taken by Plaintiffs, making their replies on their motion for summary judgment and motion to decertify due on February 18, 2014.
- 6. In the alternative, Defendants would request that Plaintiffs' responses be stricken as untimely as their late filing would greatly prejudice Defendants, especially in light of the expedited briefing schedule, complexity of the briefed issues, and the volume of exhibits attached to Plaintiffs' responses.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request a brief extension of time to file their reply briefs, up to and including February 18, 2014.

Dated this 12<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2014.

/s/ Julie N. Richards

Julie N. Richards

Attorneys for Defendants

Donald R. Mealing, Lynn R. Hasney, and

IT IS SO ORDERED

EDWARD M. CHEN
SUSAN HISTOR, JUNEOUTED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date

Defendants' Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time

Page 2